

BANGLADESH

VICTIMS OF CORRUPTION: DAMAGE REPARATION AND LEGAL STANDING

INTERNATIONAL DATABASE 2022

SOURCE: ANONYMOUS

VICTIMS OF CORRUPTION WORKING GROUP



Disclaimer

The UNCAC Coalition accepts no liability for the correctness, completeness, or reliability of the information shared in the International Database on Corruption Damage Reparation and Legal Standing for Victims of Corruption.

The UNCAC Coalition assumes no responsibility for any direct or indirect loss suffered by users or third parties in connection with the use of the database. Any reliance you place on such information is, therefore, strictly at your own risk.

The information contained in the database is crowdsourced through an open-call questionnaire from experts, organisations, and the general public. We make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, or reliability of the database or the information or related graphics contained on the International Database on Corruption Damage Reparation and Legal Standing for Victims of Corruption website page and related documents for any purpose.

The International Database on Corruption Damage Reparation and Legal Standing for Victims of Corruption is an initiative of the UNCAC Coalition Working Group on Victims of Corruption.

<https://uncaccoalition.org/victims-of-corruption-working-group/>

BANGLADESH

SOURCE: Anonymus

1. Legal Standing	2
1.1 Legal standing for civil society organisations and/or citizens in corruption-related cases	2
1.2 Type of Cases	2
1.3 Legal basis under which citizens have legal standing	2
1.4 Citizens and/or civil society's intervention in corruption cases in other capacities (e.g. third party contributors, expert input, etc)	2
1.5 State's entitlement to represent the citizens collectively in corruption cases and whether its intervention excludes direct intervention by citizens	2
1.6 Legal standing of any foreign government or foreign-based non-governmental institution to bring corruption cases on behalf of this country's citizens	2
2. Cases	3
2.1 Existence of corruption-related cases brought to Court by civil society organisations, journalists, or citizens.	3
3. Collective Damage	3
3.1 Legal instruments that enable claiming reparation, compensation, or restoration of collective damages in any field (environmental damages, human rights, corruption, among others)	3
3.2 Procedures for advancing class-actions	3
4. The Role of the victims of corruption	3
4.1 Definition of victims of corruption or common definition used by the courts in this country	3
4. 2 Cases that recognize the role of victims	3

4.3 Corruption-related court cases (criminal, civil, administrative) that awarded compensation to individuals or to identifiable or non-identifiable groups of victims to repair the damage caused by the corruption offense	3
4.4 Innovative or effective mechanisms that can be considered good practice regarding the recognition and compensation of victims in corruption-related cases	4
5. Available Information	4
5.1 Information published by enforcement authorities (including control agencies) about corruption enforcement actions	4
5.2 Feasible access to information on ongoing or concluded cases	4
5.3 Ways for citizens or civil society organisations to gather information on whether corruption cases are being investigated or trialed.	4
6. Supplementary information	4
6.1 Main identified barriers that prevent CSOs, citizens, and journalists from standing as victims of corruption cases.	4
6.2 Other aspects, issues, provisions, or practices linked to the role, recognition, and compensation of victims of corruption.	5

1. Legal Standing

1.1 Legal standing for civil society organisations and/or citizens in corruption-related cases

Civil society organisations and individual citizens do not have legal standing in corruption-related cases.

1.2 Type of Cases

N/A

1.3 Legal basis under which citizens have legal standing

Anti Corruption Commission Act 2004.

1.4 Citizens and/or civil society's intervention in corruption cases in other capacities (e.g. third party contributors, expert input, etc)

Citizens or civil can not intervene in corruption cases in other capacities.

1.5 State's entitlement to represent the citizens collectively in corruption cases and whether its intervention excludes direct intervention by citizens

The state is entitled to represent the citizens collectively in corruption cases and whether its intervention excludes direct intervention by citizens.

1.6 Legal standing of any foreign government or foreign-based non-governmental institution to bring corruption cases on behalf of this country's citizens

No foreign State or non-state institution of a foreign country is invested with legal standing to intervene on behalf of its citizens.

2. Cases

2.1 Existence of corruption-related cases brought to Court by civil society organisations, journalists, or citizens

There are maybe corruption-related cases brought to Court by civil society organisations, journalists, or citizens.

3. Collective Damage

3.1 Legal instruments that enable claiming reparation, compensation, or restoration of collective damages in any field (environmental damages, human rights, corruption, among others)

There are legal instruments that enable claiming reparation, compensation, or restoration of collective damages in any field.

3.2 Procedures for advancing class-actions

There are no such procedures.

4. The Role of the victims of corruption

4.1 Definition of victims of corruption or common definition used by the courts in this country

There is maybe no definition of victims of corruption.

4. 2 Cases that recognize the role of victims

There are cases that recognize the role of victims.

4.3 Corruption-related court cases (criminal, civil, administrative) that awarded compensation to individuals or to identifiable or non-identifiable groups of victims to repair the damage caused by the corruption offense

There are corruption-related court cases that awarded compensation to individuals or to identifiable or non-identifiable groups of victims to repair the damage caused by the corruption offense.

4.4 Innovative or effective mechanisms that can be considered good practice regarding the recognition and compensation of victims in corruption-related cases

There are no innovative or effective mechanisms that can be considered good practice regarding the recognition and compensation of victims in corruption-related cases

5. Available Information

5.1 Information published by enforcement authorities (including control agencies) about corruption enforcement actions

Information is published by enforcement authorities. Type of information:

- The conclusion of investigations whether the investigated person has been acquitted or not

5.2 Feasible access to information on ongoing or concluded cases

N/A

5.3 Ways for citizens or civil society organisations to gather information on whether corruption cases are being investigated or trialed

N/A

6. Supplementary information

6.1 Main identified barriers that prevent CSOs, citizens, and journalists from standing as victims of corruption cases

One stumbles to have access to the information primarily & execution is much more complicated.

6.2 Other aspects, issues, provisions, or practices linked to the role, recognition, and compensation of victims of corruption

N/A